Literaturverzeichnisse 2018

Inhaltsverzeichnis

Vollständige Literatur zu Jörg Jerosch: Vergleich des humeralen Offsets von drei verschiedenen inversen Schulterprothesen-Designs OUP 06-2018

Literatur

1. Abdelfattah A, Otto RJ, Simon P et al.: Classification of instability after reverse shoulder arthroplasty guides surgical management and outcomes. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2017; pii: S1058–2746: 30610–9
2. Ackland DC et al.: Moment arms of the shoulder musculature after reverse total shoulder arthroplasty. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2010; 92: 1221–30
3. Bufquin T, Hersan A, Hubert L, Massin P: Reverse shoulder arthroplasty for the treatment of three- and four-part fractures of the proximal humerus in the elderly: A prospective review of 43 cases with a short-term follow-up. J Bone Joint Surg Br 2007; 89: 516–20
4. Cazeneuve JF, Cristofari DJ: The reverse shoulder Prosthesis in the treatment of fractures of the proximal humerus in the elderly. J Bone Joint Surg Br 2010; 92: 535–9
5. Chalmers PN et al.: Early dislocation after reverse total shoulder arthroplasty. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2014; 23: 737–44
6. Cheung E et al.: Complications in reverse total shoulder arthroplasty. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2011; 19: 439–49
7. Clouthier AL, Hetzler MA, Fedorak G, Bryant JT, Deluzio KJ, Bicknell RT: Factors affecting the stability of reverse shoulder arthroplasty: a biomechanical study. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2013; 22: 439–44
8. Cuff DJ, Pupello DR, Santoni BG, Clark RE, Frankle MA: Reverse Shoulder Arthroplasty for the Treatment of Rotator Cuff Deficiency: A Concise Follow-up, at a Minimum of 10 Years, of Previous Reports. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2017; 99: 1895–9
9. Day JS, Paxton ES, Lau E, Gordon VA, Abboud JA, Williams GR: Use of reverse total shoulder arthroplasty in the Medicare population. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2015; 24: 766–72
10. Edwards TB, Williams MD, Labriola JE, Elkousy HA, Gartsman GM, O'Connor DP: Subscapularis insufficiency and the risk of shoulder dislocation after reverse shoulder arthroplasty. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2009; 18: 892–6
11. Engesaeter LB, Lie SA, Espehaug B, Furnes O, Vollset SE, Havelin LI: Antibiotic prophylaxis in total hip arthroplasty; Effects of antibiotic prophylaxis systemically and in bone cement on the revision rate of 22, 170 primary hip replacements followed 0–14 years in the Norwegian Arthroplasty Register. Acta Orthop Scand 2003; 74: 644–51
12. Erickson BJ, Frank RM, Harris JD, Mall N, Romeo AA: The influence of humeral head inclination in reverse total shoulder arthroplasty: a systematic review. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2015; 24: 988–93
13. Farshad M, Gerber C: Reverse total shoulder arthroplasty – from the most to the least common complication. Int Orthop. 2010; 34: 1075–82
14. Frankle M, et al: The reverse shoulder prosthesis for glenohumeral arthritis associated with severe rotator cuff deficiency. A minimum 2-year follow-up study of 60 patients. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2005; 87: 1697–705
15. Gerber C, Pennington SD, Nyffeler RW: Reverse total shoulder arthroplasty. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2009; 17: 284–95
16. Gutiérrez S, Keller TS, Levy JC, Lee WE, Luo ZP: Hierarchy of stability factors in reverse shoulder arthroplasty. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2008; 466: 670–6
17. Hattrup SJ, Waldrop R, Sanchez-Sotelo J: Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty for Posttraumatic Sequelae. J Orthop Trauma. 2016; 30: e41–7
18. Henninger HB, Barg A, Anderson AE, Bachus KN, Burks RT, Tashjian RZ: Effect of lateral offset center of rotation in reverse total shoulder arthroplasty: a biomechanical study. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2012; 21: 1128–35
19. Hernandez NM, Chalmers BP, Wagner ER, Sperling JW, Cofield RH, Sanchez-Sotelo J: Revision to Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty Restores Stability for Patients With Unstable Shoulder Prostheses. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2017; 475: 2716–22
20. Jarrett CD, Brown BT, Schmidt CC: Reverse shoulder arthroplasty. Orthop Clin North Am. 2013; 44: 389–408
21. Klein SM, Dunning P, Mulieri P, Pupello D, Downes K, Frankle MA: Effects of acquired glenoid bone defects on surgical technique and clinical outcomes in reverse shoulder arthroplasty. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2010; 92: 1144–54
22. Kohan EM, Chalmers PN, Salazar D, Keener JD, Yamaguchi K, Chamberlain AM: Dislocation following reverse total shoulder arthroplasty. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2017; 26: 1238–45
23. Lädermann A, Edwards TB, Walch G: Arm lengthening after reverse shoulder arthroplasty: a review. Int Orthop. 2014; 38: 991–1000
24. Manzke M, von Engelhardt LV, Jerosch J: Rezidivierende Instabilität nach periprothetischer Humerusschaftfraktur bei inverser Schulterprothese. Der Anbindungsschlauch als zusätzliche Therapieoption? OUP 2017; 11: 574–6
25. Padegimas EM, Zmistowski BM, Restrepo C, Abboud JA, Lazarus MD, Ramsey ML, Williams GR, Namdari S: Instability After Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty: Which Patients Dislocate? Am J Orthop (Belle Mead NJ). 2016; 45: E444–E450
26. Randelli P, Randelli F, Arrigoni P, Ragone V, D'Ambrosi R, Masuzzo P, Cabitza P, Banfi G: Optimal glenoid component inclination in reverse shoulder arthroplasty. How to improve implant stability. Musculoskelet Surg. 2014; 98 Suppl 1: 15–8
27. Seebauer L: Total reverse shoulder arthroplasty: European lessons and future trends. Am J Orthop (Belle Mead NJ) 2007; 36 (12 suppl 1): 22–8
28. Teusink MJ, Pappou IP, Schwartz DG, Cottrell BJ, Frankle MA: Results of closed management of acute dislocation after reverse shoulder arthroplasty. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2015; 24: 621–7
29. Valenti P, Boutens D, Nerot C : Delta 3 reversed prosthesis for arthritis with massive rotator cuff tear : Long term results (> 5 years). In: Walch G., Boileau P., Molé D., eds: 2000 Shoulder Prosthesis: Two to Ten Year Follow-up. Montpellier, France, Sauramps Medical, 2001, 253–9
30. Villacis D, Sivasundaram L, Pannell WC, Heckmann N, Omid R, Hatch GF 3rd: Complication rate and implant survival for reverse shoulder arthroplasty versus total shoulder arthroplasty: results during the initial 2 years. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2016; 25: 927–35
31. Walch G, Bacle G, Lädermann A, Nové-Josserand L, Smithers CJ: Do the indications, results, and complications of reverse shoulder arthroplasty change

with surgeon's experience? J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2012; 21: 1470–7
32. Walch G, Wall B, Mottier F: Complications and revision of the reverse prosthesis: A multicenter Study of 457 cases. In : Walch G., Boileau P. Molé P., Farvard L., Lévigne C., Sirveaux F., eds : Reverse Shoulder Arthroplasty: Clinical Results; Complications, Revision. Montpellier, France, Sauramps Médical, 2006, 335–52
33. Werner CM, et al: Treatment of painful pseudoparesis due to irreparable rotator cuff dysfunction with the Delta III reverse-ball-and-socket total shoulder prosthesis. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2005; 87: 1476–86

Vollständige Literatur zu Uwe Schütz: Moderne bildgebende Diagnostik der Arthrose im Allgemeinen und der großen Gelenke der unteren Extremität OUP 07/08-2018

Literatur

1. Altman R, Alarcón G, Appelrouth D et al.:The American College of Rheumatology criteria for the classification and reporting of osteoarthritis of the hip. Arthritis Rheum 1991; 34: 505–514
2. Altman RD, Hochberg M, Murphy WA Jr. et al.: Atlas of individual radiographic features in osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 1995; 3 Suppl A: 3–70
3. Anderson AF, Irrgang JJ, Kocher MS et al.: The International Knee Documentation Committee Subjective Knee Evaluation Form: normative data. Am. J. Sports Med 2006; 34: 128–135
4. Bachmann G, Heinrichs C, Jürgensen I et al.: [Comparison of different MRT techniques in the diagnosis of degenerative cartilage diseases. In vitro study of 50 joint specimens of the knee at T1.5]. Rofo. 1997; 166: 429–436
5. Bachmann GF, Basad E, Rauber K, Damian MS, Rau WS: Degenerative joint disease on MRI and physical activity: a clinical study of the knee joint in 320 patients. Eur Radiol. 1999; 9: 145–152
6. Beck M, Leunig M, Parvizi J et al.: Anterior femoroacetabular impingement: part II. Midterm results of surgical treatment. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2004; 418: 67–73
7. Bittersohl B, Hosalkar HS, Hesper T et al.: Advanced imaging in femoroacetabular impingement: Current state and future prospects. Front Surg 2015; 2: 34
8. Blackburn WD Jr, Bernreuter WK, Rominger M Loose LL: Arthroscopic evaluation of knee articular cartilage: a comparison with plain radiographs and magnetic resonance imaging. J Rheumatol. 1994; 21: 675–679
9. Boegård T, Rudling O, Petersson IF et al.: Correlation between radiographically diagnosed osteophytes and magnetic resonance detected cartilage defects in the tibiofemoral joint. Ann Rheum Dis. 1998; 57: 401–407
10. Bogunovic L, Gottlieb M, Pashos G, Baca G, Clohisy JC: Why do hip arthroscopy procedures fail? Clin Orthop Relat Res 2013; 471: 2523–2529
11. Braunschweig R, Tiemann AHH: Bildgebung: Was wirklich nötig ist. OUP 2017; 12: 602–607 DOI 10.3238/oup.2017.0602–0607
12. Brian J. Cole, M. Mike Malek (ed.): Articular cartilage lesions. New York: Springer, c2004
13. Brittberg M, Winalski CS: Evaluation of cartilage injuries and repair. J. Bone Joint Surg. Am. 2003; 85-A Suppl 2: 58–69
14. Broderick LS, Turner DA, Renfrew DL, Schnitzer TJ, Huff JP, Harris C. Severity of articular cartilage abnormality in patients with osteoarthritis: evaluation with fast spin-echo MR vs arthroscopy. Am J Roentgenol. 1994; 162: 99–103
15. Bruns J, Steinhagen J: [Lesions of articular cartilage and osteoarthrosis – Biological background]. Dt. Zeitschr f Sportmed. 2000; 2: 42–47
16. Bruyere O, Dardenne C, Lejeune E et al.: Subchondral tibial bone mineral density predicts future joint space narrowing at the medial femoro-tibial compartment in patients with knee osteoarthritis. Bone 2003; 32: 541–545
17. Burr DB: The importance of subchondral bone in the progression of osteoarthritis. J Rheumatol. 2004; 31: 77–8
18. Burstein D, Velyvis J, Scott KT et al.: Protocol issues for delayed Gd (DTPA)(2-)-enhanced MRI (dGEMRIC) for clinical evaluation of articular cartilage. Magn Reson Med 2001; 45: 36–41
19. Cameron ML, Briggs KK, Steadman JR: Reproducibility and reliability of the Outerbridge classification for grading chondral lesions of the knee arthroscopically. Am J Sports Med 2003; 31: 83–86
20. Carballido-Gamio et al.: Feasibility and reproducibility of relaxometry, morphometric, and geometrical measurements of the hip joint with magnetic resonance imaging at 3T. J Magn Reson Imaging 2008; 28: 227–235
21. Clohisy JC, Carlisle JC, Beaulé PE et al.: A systematic approach to the plain radiographic evaluation of the young adult hip. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2008; 90 Suppl 4: 47–66
22. Disler DG, McCauley TR, Kelman CG: Fat-suppressed three-dimensional spoiled gradient-echo MR imaging of hyaline cartilage defects in the knee: comparison with standard MR imaging and arthroscopy. Am J Roentgenol. 1996; 167: 127–132
23. Domb BG, Gui C, Lodhia P: How much arthritis is too much for hip arthroscopy: a systematic review. Arthroscopy 2015; 31: 520–529
24. Drapé JL, Pessis E, Auleley GR et al.: Quantitative MR imaging evaluation of chondropathy in osteoarthritic knees. Radiology. 1998; 208: 49–55
25. Dunn TC, Lu Y, Jin H, Ries MD, Majumdar S: T2 relaxation time of cartilage at MR imaging: comparison with severity of knee osteoarthritis. Radiology. 2004 Aug; 232: 592–8
26. Eckstein F, Hudelmaier M, Wirth W et al.: Double echo steady state magnetic resonance imaging of knee articular cartilage at 3 Tesla: a pilot study for the Osteoarthritis Initiative. Ann Rheum Dis. 2006; 65: 433–441
27. Fairbank TJ: Knee joint changes after meniscectomy. J. Bone Joint Surg. Br. 1948; 30B: 664–670
28. Falah M, Nierenberg G, Soudry M et al.: Treatment of articular cartilage lesions of the knee. Int Orthop. 2010; 34: 621–630
29. Field RE, Rajakulendran K: The labroacetabular complex. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2011; 93 Suppl 2: 22–7
30. Fontana A, de Girolamo L: Sustained fiveyear benefit of autologous matrix-induced chondrogenesis for femoral acetabular impingement-induced chondral lesions compared with microfracture treatment. Bone Joint J 2015; 97-b: 628–635
31. Friemert B, Oberlander Y, Schwarz W: Diagnosis of chondral lesions of the knee joint: can MRI replace arthroscopy? A prospective study. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2004; 12: 58–64
32. Gaissmaier C, Fritz J, Mollenhauer JA: [Outcome of clinically overt cartilage injuries without and with biological reconstruction]. Dtsch Ärztebl. 2003; 100: 2448–2453
33. Gold GE, Reeder SB, Yu H: Articular cartilage of the knee: rapid three-dimensional MR imaging at 3.0 T with IDEAL balanced steady-state free precession-initial experience. Radiology. 2006; 240: 546–551
34. Hackenbroch MH. Arthrosen: Basiswissen zu Klinik, Diagnostik und Therapie. Stuttgart: Thieme Verlag, 2002
35. Haviv B, Singh PJ, Takla A, O'Donnell J: Arthroscopic femoral osteochondroplasty for cam lesions with isolated ace-

tabular chondral damage. J Bone Joint Surg Br 2010; 92: 629–633
36. Hayes CW, Jamadar DA, Welch GW et al.: Osteoarthritis of the knee: comparison of MR imaging findings with radiographic severity measurements and pain in middle-aged women. Radiology. 2005; 237: 998–1007
37. Horisberger M, Brunner A, Herzog RF: Arthroscopic treatment of femoral acetabular impingement in patients with preoperative generalized degenerative changes. Arthroscopy 2010; 26: 623–629
38. Huda W, Nickoloff EL, Boone JM: Overview of patient dosimetry in diagnostic radiology in the USA for the past 50 years. Med Phys 2008; 35: 5713–5728.
39. Huétink K, Nelissen RG, Watt I, van Erkel AR, Bloem JL: Localized development of knee osteoarthritis can be predicted from MR imaging findings a decade earlier. Radiology. 2010; 256: 536–546
40. Hunter DJ, Lo GH, Gale D et al.: The reliability of a new scoring system for knee osteoarthritis MRI and the validity of bone marrow lesion assessment: BLOKS. Ann. Rheum. Dis. 2008; 67: 206–211
41. Imhof H, Czerny C, Gahleitner A et al.: Koxarthrose. Radiologe 2002; 42: 416–431
42. Imhof H, Nöbauer-Huhmann I, Trattnig S: Koxarthrose – ein Update. Radiologe 2009; 49: 400–409
43. Insall JN, Dorr LD, Scott RD et al.: Rationale of the Knee Society clinical rating system. Clin Orthop Relat Res, 1989: 13–14
44. Ito K, Minka MA 2nd, Leunig M, Werlen S, Ganz R: Femoroacetabular impingement and the cam-effect. A MRI-based quantitative anatomical study of the femoral head-neck offset. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2001; 83: 171–6
45. Jäger M, Wirth CJ: Praxis der Orthopädie. Stuttgart, New York: Thieme, 1986
46. Jaroma A, Suomalainen JS, Niemitukia L, Soininvaara T, Salo J, Kröger H: Imaging of symptomatic total knee arthroplasty with cone beam computed tomography. Acta Radiol. 2018; Jan 1: 284185118762247. doi: 10.1177/0284185118762247.
47. Jerosch J: Akuter Gelenkinfekt. Orthopäde 2004; 33, 1309–1320
48. Jungius KP, Schmid MR, Zanetti M, Hodler J, Koch P, Pfirrmann CW: Cartilaginous defects of the femorotibial joint: accuracy of coronal short inversion time inversion-recovery MR sequence. Radiology. 2006; 240: 482–488
49. Katthagen BD, Zahedi AR: Indikationen und klinische Anwendung der 3-fachen Beckenosteotomie nach Tönnis/Kalchschmidt. OUP 2015; 02: 072–075
50. Kawahara Y, Uetani M, Nakahara N: Fast spin-echo MR of the articular cartilage in the osteoarthrotic knee. Correlation of MR and arthroscopic findings. Acta Radiol. 1998; 39: 120–125
51. Kellgren JH, Lawrence JS: Radiological assessment of osteo-arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis. 1957; 16: 494–502
52. Keppler P, Strecker W, Kinzl L: Analysis of leg geometry--standard techniques and normal values]. Chirurg. 1998; 69: 1141–1152
53. Kijowski R, Blankenbaker DG, Davis KW et al.: Comparison of 1.5– and 3.0-T MR imaging for evaluating the articular cartilage of the knee joint. Radiology. 2009; 250: 839–848
54. Kijowski R, Blankenbaker DG, Woods MA et al.: 3.0-T evaluation of knee cartilage by using three-dimensional IDEAL GRASS imaging: comparison with fast spin-echo imaging. Radiology. 2010; 255: 117–127
55. Kijowski R, Davis KW, Woods MA et al.: Knee joint: Comprehensive assessment with 3D isotropic resolution fast spin-echo MR imaging – Diagnostic performance compared with that of conventional MR imaging at 3.0 T. Radiology. 2009; 252: 486–495
56. Kijowski R: Clinical cartilage imaging of the knee and hip joints. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2010; 195: 618–628
57. Kornaat PR, Bloem JL, Ceulemans RY et al.: Osteoarthritis of the knee: association between clinical features and MR imaging findings. Radiology. 2006; 239: 811–817
58. Kornaat PR, Bloem JL, Ceulemans RY: Osteoarthritis of the knee: association between clinical features and MR imaging findings. Radiology. 2006; 239: 811–817
59. Krampla W, Roesel M, Svoboda K et al.: MRI of the knee: how do field strength and radiologist's experience influence diagnostic accuracy and interobserver correlation in assessing chondral and meniscal lesions and the integrity of the anterior cruciate ligament? Eur. Radiol. 2009; 19: 1519–1528
60. Kwee RM, Hafezi-Nejad N, Roemer FW et al: Association of Mucoid Degeneration of the Anterior Cruciate Ligament at MR Imaging with Medial Tibiofemoral Osteoarthritis Progression at Radiography: Data from the Osteoarthritis Initiative. Radiology. 2018; doi: 10.1148/radiol.2018171565. [Epub ahead of print]
61. Lanyon P, O'Reilly S, Jones A et al.: Radiographic assessment of symptomatic knee osteoarthritis in the community: definitions and normal joint space. Ann Rheum Dis 1998; 57: 595–601
62. Larson CM, Giveans MR, Taylor M: Does arthroscopic FAI correction improve function with radiographic arthritis? Clin Orthop Relat Res 2011; 469: 1667–1676
63. Lattanzi R, Petchprapa C, Ascani D et al.: Detection of cartilage damage in femoroacetabular impingement with standardized dGEMRIC at 3 T. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2014; 22: 447–456
64. Liess C, Lüsse S, Karger N et al.: Detection of changes in cartilage water content using MRI T2-mapping in vivo. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2002; 10: 907–913
65. Link TM, Steinbach LS, Ghosh S et al.: Osteoarthritis: MR imaging findings in different stages of disease and correlation with clinical findings. Radiology. 2003; 226: 373–381
66. Link TM, Steinbach LS, Ghosh S et al.: Osteoarthritis: MR imaging findings in different stages of disease and correlation with clinical findings. Radiology. 2003; 226: 373–381
67. Link TM. MR imaging in osteoarthritis: hardware, coils, and sequences. Radiol Clin North Am. 2009; 47: 617–632
68. Llopis E, Fernandez E, Cerezal L: MR and CT arthrography of the hip. Semin Musculoskelet Radiol 2012; 16: 42–56
69. Llopis et al.: Direct MR arthrography of the hip with leg traction: feasibility for assessing articular cartilage. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2008; 190: 1124–1128
70. Mamisch TC,Werlen S, Zilkens C et al.: Radiologische Diagnose des femoroazetabulären Impingements. Radiologe 2009; 49: 425–433
71. Masi JN, Sell CA, Phan C et al.: Cartilage MR imaging at 3.0 versus that at 1.5 T: preliminary results in a porcine model. Radiology. 2005; 236: 140–150
72. Mathieu L, Bouchard A, Marchaland JP: Knee MR-arthrography in assessment of meniscal and chondral lesions. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res. 2009; 95: 40–47
73. Matikka H, Virén T. Radiation dose reduction in cone-beam computed tomography of extremities: evaluation of a novel radiation shield. J Radiol Prot. 2014; 34: N57–63
74. McCarthy JC, Jarrett BT, Ojeifo O, Lee JA, Bragdon CR: What factors influence long-term survivorship after hip arthroscopy? Clin Orthop Relat Res 2011; 469: 362–371
75. McCormick F, Nwachukwu BU, Alpaugh K, Martin SD: Predictors of hip arthroscopy outcomes for labral tears at minimum 2-year follow-up: the influence of age and arthritis. Arthroscopy 2012; 28: 1359–1364
76. McNicholas MJ, Brooksbank AJ, Walker CM: Observer agreement analysis of MRI grading of knee osteoarthritis. R Coll Surg Edinb. 1999; 44: 31–33
77. Mosher TJ, Smith H, Dardzinski BJ et al.: MR imaging and T2 mapping of femoral cartilage: in vivo determination of the magic angle effect. AmJ Roentgenol 2001; 177: 665–669

78. Mosher TJ: MRI of osteochondral injuries of the knee and ankle in the athlete. Clin Sports Med. 2006; 25: 843–66
79. Muraoka T, Hagino H, Okano T et al.: Role of subchondral bone in osteoarthritis development: a comparative study of two strains of guinea pigs with and without spontaneously occurring osteoarthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2007; 56: 3366–3374
80. Naal FD, Impellizzeri FM, Sieverding M et al.: The 12-item Oxford Knee Score: cross-cultural adaptation into German and assessment of its psychometric properties in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2009; 17: 49–52
81. Nakanishi et al.: MR evaluation of the articular cartilage of the femoral head during traction. Correlation with resected femoral head. Acta Radiol 1999; 40: 60–63
82. Nikolaou VS, Chronopoulos E, Savvidou C: MRI efficacy in diagnosing internal lesions of the knee: a retrospective analysis. J Trauma Manag Outcomes. 2008; 2: 4
83. Nishii et al.: Articular cartilage evaluation in osteoarthritis of the hip with MR imaging under continuous leg traction. Magn Reson Imaging 1998; 16: 871–875
84. Nishii T, Tanaka H, Sugano N et al.: Evaluation of cartilage matrix disorders by T2 relaxation time in patients with hip dysplasia. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2008; 16: 227–233
85. Outerbridge RE: The etiology of chondromalacia patellae. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 1961; 43-B: 752–757
86. Outerbridge RE: Further studies on the etiology of chondromalapacia patellae. J. Bone Joint Surg.Br. 1964; 46: 179–190
87. Pagenstert GI, Bachmann M: Klinische Untersuchung bei patellofemoralen Problemen. Orthopädie. 2008; 37: 890–903
88. Pan J, Pialat JB, Joseph T et al.: Knee cartilage T2 characteristics and evolution in relation to morphologic abnormalities detected at 3-T MR imaging: a longitudinal study of the normal control cohort from the Osteoarthritis Initiative. Radiology. 2011; 261: 507–515
89. Pastoureau, PC, Chomel AC, Bonnet J: Evidence of early subchondral bone changes in the menisectomized guinea pig (a densitometric study using dual X-ray absorptiometry subregional analysis). Osteoarthritis Cart 1999; 7: 466–473
90. Petchprapa CN, Recht MP: Imaging of chondral lesions including femoroacetabular impingement. Semin Musculoskelet Radiol 2013; 17: 258–271
91. Peterfy CG, Guermazi A, Zaim S et al.: Whole-Organ Magnetic Resonance Imaging Score (WORMS) of the knee in osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis.Cartilage. 2004; 12: 177–190
92. Pfirrmann CW, Mengiardi B, Dora C et al.: Cam and pincer femoroacetabular impingement: characteristic MR arthrographic findings in 50 patients. Radiology 2006; 240: 778–785
93. Philippon MJ, Briggs KK, Yen YM, Kuppersmith DA: Outcomes following hip arthroscopy for femoroacetabular impingement with associated chondrolabral dysfunction: minimum two-year followup. J Bone Joint Surg Br 2009; 91: 16–23
94. Potter HG, Linklater JM, Allen AA, Hannafin JA, Haas SB: Magnetic resonance imaging of articular cartilage in the knee: an evaluation with use of fast-spin-echo imaging. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1998; 80: 1276–1284
95. Quatman CE, Hettrich CM, Schmitt LC et al.: The Clinical Utility and Diagnostic Performance of MRI for Identification of Early and Advanced Knee Osteoarthritis: A Systematic Review. Am J Sports Med. 2011; 39: 1557–1568
96. Räuchle M, Cemerka M, Eibenberger B et al.: Arthrose – Update 2012. Radiologe 2012; 52: 149–155
97. Rehnitz C, Weber MA: Morphologische und funktionelle Knorpeldiagnostik. Orthopäde 2015; 44: 317–336
98. Roemer FW, Eckstein F, Guermazi A: Magnetic resonance imaging-based semiquantitative and quantitative assessment in osteoarthritis. Rheum Dis Clin North Am. 2009; 35: 521–55
99. Roos EM, Roos HP, Lohmander LS: WOMAC Osteoarthritis Index – additional dimensions for use in subjects with post-traumatic osteoarthritis of the knee. Western Ontario and MacMaster Universities. Osteoarthritis Cartilage, 1999; 7: 216–221
100. Roos EM, Roos HP, Ekdahl C et al.: Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS)-
validation of a Swedish version. Scand J Med Sci Sports, 1998; 8: 439–48
101. Rosenberg TD, Paulos LE, Parker RD et al.: The forty-five degree posteroanterior flexion weight-bearing radiograph of the knee. J Bone Joint Surg Am.1988; 70A: 1479–1483
102. S2k-Leitlinie Gonarthrose, Stand 18.01.2018. AWMF Registernummer: 033–004. Federführende Fachgesellschaft: Deutsche Gesellschaft für Orthopädie und Orthopädische Chirurgie (DGOOC), Deutsche Gesellschaft für Orthopädie und Unfallchirurgie (DGOU).
103. Sankar WN, Matheney TH, Zaltz I: Femoroacetabular impingement: current concepts and controversies. Orthop Clin North Am 2013; 44: 575–589
104. Saris DB, Dhert WJ, Verbout AJ: Joint homeostasis. The discrepancy between old and fresh defects in cartilage repair. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2003; 85: 1067–1076
105. Schaefer FK, Kurz B, Schaefer PJ: Accuracy and precision in the detection of articular cartilage lesions using magnetic resonance imaging at 1.5 Tesla in an in vitro study with orthopedic and histopathologic correlation. Acta Radiol. 2007; 48: 1131–1137
106. Scheidt-Nave C, Kamtsiuris P, Gosswald A et al.: German health interview and examination survey for adults (DEGS) – design, objectives and implementation of the first data collection wave. BMC Public Health, 2012; 12: 730
107. Schmid MR, Pfirrmann CW, Koch P et al.: Imaging of patellar cartilage with a 2D multiple-echo data image combination sequence. Am. J. Roentgenol. 2005; 184: 1744–1748
108. Schmitt F, Grosu D, Mohr C et al.: [3 Tesla MRI: successful results with higher field strengths]. Radiologe. 2004; 44: 31–48
109. Schröder RJ, Fischbach F, Unterhauser FN et al.: [Value of various MR sequences using 1.5 and 3.0 Tesla in analyzing cartilaginous defects of the patella in an animal model]. Rofo. 2004; 176: 1667–1675
110. Schütz UH, Billich C, Schoss D, Beer M, Ellermann J. MRI Cartilage Assessment of the Subtalar and Midtarsal Joints During a Transcontinental Ultramarathon – New Insights into Human Locomotion. Int J Sports Med. 2018; 39: 37–49
111. Schütz UH, Ellermann J, Schoss D, Wiedelbach H, Beer M, Billich C: Biochemical cartilage alteration and unexpected signal recovery in T2* mapping observed in ankle joints with mobile MRI during a transcontinental multistage footrace over 4486 km. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2014; 22: 1840–1850
112. Schütz UH, Schmidt-Trucksäss A et al.: The Transeurope Footrace Project: Longitudinal data acquisition in a cluster randomized mobile MRI observational cohort study on 44 endurance runners at a 64-stage 4,486km transcontinental ultramarathon. BMC Med. 2012; 10: 78
113. Schütz UH: Komplikationen bei der bildgebenden Diagnostik. In: Wirth CJ, Mutschler W, Bischoff HP, Püschmann H, Neu J (Hrsg.): Komplikationen in Orthopädie und Traumatologie. Vermeiden, erkennen, behandeln. Stuttgart: Georg Thieme Verlag, 2010: 72–81
114. Seitlinger G, Scheurecker G, Högler R, Kramer J, Hofmann S: Bildgebende Diagnostik des Patellofemoralgelenks. Arthroskopie 2010; 23: 176–83
115. Sharma L, Kapoor D: Epidemiology of Osteoarthritis 8. In: Moskowitz RW et al.: (Hrsg.): Osteoarthritis. Diagnosis, Medical/Surgical Management. 4th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2007: 3–26
116. Skendzel JG, Philippon MJ, Briggs KK, Goljan P: The effect of joint space on

midterm outcomes after arthroscopic hip surgery for femoroacetabular impingement. Am J Sports Med 2014; 42: 1127–1133
117. Sonin AH, Pensy RA, Mulligan ME, Hatem S: Grading articular cartilage of the knee using fast spin-echo proton density-weighted MR imaging without fat suppression. Am J Roentgenol. 2002; 179: 1159–1166
118. Spahn G, Schiltenwolf M,Hartmann B et al.: [The time-related risk for knee osteoarthritis after ACL injury. Results from a systematic review]. Orthopade 2016; 45: 81–90
119. Spahn G, Stojanowic I, Biehl M, Klemm HT, Hofmann GO: Klassifikation von Knorpelschaden und Arthrose. OUP 2016; 9: 509–514
120. Steppacher SD, Tannast M, Siebenrock KA: Labrumläsionen des Hüftgelenks. Orthopädie und Unfallchirurgie up2date 2008; 3: 215–232
121. Suh JS, Lee SH, Jeong EK et al.: Magnetic resonance imaging of articular cartilage. Eur Radiol. 2001; 11: 2015–2025
122. Sutter R, Zanetti M, Pfirrmann CW: New developments in hip imaging. Radiology 2012; 264: 651–667
123. Sutter R, Zubler V, Hoffmann A et al.: Hip MRI: how useful is intraarticular contrast material for evaluating surgically proven lesions of the labrum and articular cartilage? Am J Roentgenol 2014; 202: 160–169
124. Tesch C: Fokussierte Sonografie in Orthopädie und Unfallchirurgie. Symptom-orientierte Sonografie zum raschen Erkenntnisgewinn. OUP 2018; 1: 005–009
125. Thorey F: Grenzen der erhaltenden Knorpel- und Arthrosetherapie am Hüftgelenk. OUP 2016; 9: 646–650
126. Tibor LM, Leunig M. The pathoanatomy and arthroscopic management of femoroacetabular impingement. Bone Joint Res 2012; 1: 245–257
127. Tiderius CJ, Tjornstrand J, Akeson P et al.: Delayed gadolinium-enhanced MRI of cartilage (dGEMRIC): intra- and interobserver variability in standardized drawing of regions of interest. Acta Radiol. 2004; 45: 628–634
128. Tiemann AHH: Muskuloskelettale Infektionen: Handlungsleitfaden für Diagnostik und Therapie. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 2016
129. Turunen MJ, Töyräs J, Kokkonen HT, Jurvelin JS: Extremity cone-beam CT for evaluation of medial tibiofemoral osteoarthritis: Initial experience in imaging of the weight-bearing and non-weight-bearing knee. Eur J Radiol. 2015; 84: 2564–2570
130. Turunen MJ, Töyräs J, Kokkonen HT, Jurvelin JS: Quantitative evaluation of knee subchondral bone mineral density using cone beam computed tomography. IEEE Trans Med Imaging. 2015; 34: 2186–2190
131. Vallotton JA, Meuli RA, Leyvraz PF et al.: Comparison between magnetic resonance imaging and arthroscopy in the diagnosis of patellar cartilage lesions: a prospective study. Knee. Surg. Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 1995; 3: 157–162
132. von Engelhardt LV, Jerosch J. Bildgebende Diagnostik der Arthrose im klinischen Alltag. OUP 2015; 05: 252–257
133. von Engelhardt LV, Kraft CN, Pennekamp PH et al.: The evaluation of articular cartilage lesions of the knee with a 3-Tesla magnet. Arthroscopy. 2007; 23: 496–502
134. von Engelhardt LV, Lahner M, Klussmann A: Arthroscopy vs. MRI for a detailed assessment of cartilage disease in osteoarthritis: diagnostic value of MRI in clinical practice. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2010; 11: 75
135. von Engelhardt LV, Raddatz M, Haage P, Bouillon B, David A, Lichtinger TK: Is MRI reliable for diagnostics chondral and osteochondral lesions in patients with acute lateral patella dislocation? BMC Musculoskeletal Disord. 2010; 11: 149
136. von Engelhardt LV, Schmitz A, Burian B: [3-Tesla MRI vs. arthroscopy for diagnostics of degenerative knee cartilage diseases: preliminary clinical results]. Orthopäde. 2008; 37: 916–922
137. Wagner D: Patellofemorales Schmerzsyndrom. OUP 2017; 6: 301–305
138. Weber MA, EgermannM, Thierjung H et al.: Moderne radiologische postoperative Diagnostik des Hüftgelenks im Kindes- und Erwachsenenalter. Fortschr Röntgenstr 2015; 187: 525–542
139. Weber MA, Merle C, Rehnitz C, Gotterbarm T: Modern Radiological Imaging of Osteoarthritis of The Hip Joint With Consideration of Predisposing Conditions. Rofo. 2016; 188: 635–651
140. Weber MA, Rehnitz C, Ott H et al.: Leistenschmerz beim Sportler. Fortschr Röntgenstr 2013; 185: 1139–1148
141. Wettstein et al.: Direct MR arthrography of the hip with leg traction: feasibility for assessing articular cartilage. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2008; 191: W206
142. Wong S, Steinbach L, Zhao J, Stehling C, Ma CB, Link TM: Comparative study of imaging at 3.0 T versus 1.5 T of the knee. Skeletal Radiol. 2009; 38: 761–769
143. Wrixon AD: New ICRP recommendations. J Radiol Prot 2008; 28: 161–168
144. Yamamoto S, Watanabe A, Nakamura J et al.: Quantitative T2 mapping of femoral head cartilage in systemic lupus erythematosus patients with noncollapsed osteonecrosis of the femoral head associated with corticosteroid therapy. J Magn Reson Imaging 2011; 34: 1151–1158
145. Yoshioka H, Stevens K, Genovese M, Dillingham MF, Lang P: Articular cartilage of knee: normal patterns at MR imaging that mimic disease in healthy subjects and patients with osteoarthritis. Radiology. 2004; 231: 31–38
146. Yoshioka H, Stevens K, Hargreaves BA: Magnetic resonance imaging of articular cartilage of the knee: comparison between fat-suppressed three-dimensional SPGR imaging, fat-suppressed FSE imaging, and fat-suppressed three-dimensional DEFT imaging, and correlation with arthroscopy. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2004; 20: 857–864
147. Zacher J, Gursche A: Diagnostik der Arthrose. Orthopäde 2001; 30: 841–847
148. Zilkens C, JägerM, Bittersohl B et al.: Delayed Gadolinium Enhanced MRI of Cartilage (dGEMRIC) – Molekulare MRT-Bildgebung des Hüftgelenkknorpels. Orthopäde 2009; 38: 591–599

Vollständige Literatur zu Steinmeyer et al.: Medikamentöse Therapie der Gonarthrose – besondere Aspekte der neuen Leitlinie OUP 07-2018

Literatur

1. Fuchs J, Rabenberg M, Scheidt-Nave C: Prävalenz ausgewählter muskuloskelettaler Erkrankungen. Ergebnisse der Studie zur Gesundheit Erwachsener in Deutschland (DEGS1). Bundesgesundheitsbl 2013; 56: 678–86
2. Steinmeyer J, Konttinen YT: Oral treatment options for degenerative joint disease--presence and future. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2006; 58: 168–211
3. Grandt D, Schubert I: Arzneimittelreport 2016. Analysen zur Arzneimitteltherapie und Arzneimitteltherapiesicherheit. In: Barmer GEK (Hrsg.): Schriftenreihe zur Gesundheitsanalyse. Berlin: Asgard Verlagsservice GmbH, 2016, Bd. 39
4. Beglinger C: Ethics related to drug therapy in the elderly. Dig Dis 2008; 41: 1411–1426
5. Arbeitsgemeinschaft der Wissenschaftlichen Medizinischen Fachgesellschaften (AWMF): S2k-Leitlinie Gonarthrose. Registernummer 033–004, Stand 18.01.2018, unter: http://www.awmf.org/leitlinien/detail/ll/033–004.html (abgerufen am 20.03.2018)
6. NICE National Institute for Health and Care Excellence: Osteoarthritis: care and management. Clinical guideline. Publiziert am 12.02.2014, unter: http://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg177/resources/osteoarthritis-care-and-managment-35109757272517 (abgerufen am 20.03.2018)
7. McAlindon TE, Bannuru RR, Sullivan MC et al.: OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of knee osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2014; 22: 363–88
8. Bannuru RR, Schmid CH, Kent DM et al.: Comparative effectiveness of pharmacologic interventions for knee osteoarthritis. A systematic review and network meta-analysis. Ann Intern Med 2015; 152: 46–54
9. da Costa BR, Reichenbach S, Keller N et al.: Effectiveness of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs for the treatment of pain in knee and hip osteoarthritis: a network meta-analysis. Lancet 2016; 387: 2093–105
10. Machado GC, Maher CG, Ferreira PH et al.: Efficacy and safety of paracetamol for spinal pain and osteoarthritis: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised placebo controlled trials. BMJ 2014; 350: h1225
11. Stamer, UM, Gundert-Remy U, Biermann E et al.: Metamizol – Überlegungen zum Monitoring zur frühzeitigen Diagnose einer Agranulozytose. Schmerz 2017; 31: 5–13
12. Arzneimittelkommission der deutschen Ärzteschaft (AkdÄ): Agranulozytose nach Metamizol – sehr selten, aber häufiger als gedacht. Dtsch Arztebl 2011; 108: A-1758
13. Jerosch J, Breil-Wirth A: Worauf müssen wir beim Einsatz von Metamizol achten? OUP 2017; 6: 577–81
14. Bundesinstitut für Arzneimittel- und Medizinprodukte: Metamizol (Novalgin, Berlosin, Novaminsulfon, etc.): BfArM weist auf richtige Indikationsstellung und Beachtung von Vorsichtsmaßnahmen und Warnhinweisen hin. Publiziert 28.05.2009, unter: https://www.bfarm.de/SharedDocs/Risikoinformationen/Pharmakovigilanz/DE/RI/2009/RI-metamizol.html (abgerufen am 20.03.2018)
15. Arbeitsgemeinschaft der Wissenschaftlichen Medizinischen Fachgesellschaften (AWMF): Empfehlungen der S3-Leitlinie -– Langzeitanwendung von Opioiden bei nicht tumorbedingten Schmerzen – „LONTS“. Registernummer 145/003, Stand 09/2014, Überarbeitung 01/2015, unter: http://www.awmf.org/uploads/tx_szleitlinien/145–003l_S3_LONTS_2015–01.pdf (abgerufen am 20.03.2018)
16. da Costa BR, Nüesch E, Kasteler R et al.: Oral or transdermal opioids for osteoarthritis of the knee or hip. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2014; CD003115
17. Welsch P, Sommer C, Schiltenwolf M, Häuser W: Opioids in chronic non-cancer pain: Are opioids superior to non-opioid analgesics? A systematic review and metaanalysis of efficacy and harms of randomized head-to-head comparisons of opioids versus non-opioid analgesics in studies of at least four weeks duration. Schmerz 2015; 29: 85–95
18. Miller M, Sturmer T, Azrael D et al.: Opioid analgesics and the risk of fractures in older adults with arthritis. J Am Geriatr Soc 2011; 59: 430–8
19. Andresen V, Wedel T: Opioidinduzierte Obstipation. Arzneiverordnung in der Praxis 2016; 43, 21–9. Unter: https://www.akdae.de/Arzneimitteltherapie/AVP/Artikel/201601/021h/index.php (abgerufen am 20.03.2018)
20. Coxib and traditional NSAID Trialists' (CNT) Collaboration: Vascular and upper gastrointestinal effects of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs: meta-analyses of individual participant data from randomised trials. Lancet 2013; 382: 769–79
21. Derry S, Conaghan P, Da Silva JAP et al.: Topical NSAIDs for chronic musculoskeletal pain in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2016; CD007400
22. Hochberg MC, Altman RD, April KT et al.: American College of Rheumatology 2012 recommendations for the use of nonpharmacologic and pharmacologic therapies in osteoarthritis of the hand, hip, and knee. Arthritis Care & Research 2012; 64: 465–74
23. AAOS American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons: Treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee, evidence-based guideline, 2nd edition. Publiziert am 18.05.2013, unter: http://www.aaos.org/uploadedFiles/PreProduction/Quality/Guidelines_and_Reviews/Osteoarthritis%20of%20the%20Knee%20-%20non-arthroplasty.pdf (abgerufen am 20.03.2018)
24. Fischbach W, Baerwald C, Darius H et al.: Schmerztherapie mit traditionellen NSAR und Coxiben – eine interdisziplinäre Betrachtung. Dtsch Med Wochenschr, 2013; 138: 91–6
25. Nissen SE, Yeomans ND, Solomon DH et al.: Cardiovascular safety of celecoxib, naproxen, or ibuprofen for arthritis. N Engl J Med 2016; 375: 2519–29
26. Arzneimittelkommission der deutschen Ärzteschaft (AkdÄ): Nichtsteroidale Antirheumatika (NSAR) im Vergleich: Risiko von Komplikationen im oberen Gastrointestinaltrakt, Herzinfarkt und Schlaganfall. Dtsch Ärztebl 2013; 110: A1447–8
27. Hippisley-Cox J, Coupland C, Logan R: Risk of adverse gastrointestinal outcomes in patients taking cyclo-oxygenase-2 inhibitors or conventional non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs: population based nested case-control analysis. BMJ 2005; 331: 1310–6
28. Lewis SC, Langman MJS, Laporte J-R et al.: Dose-response relationships between individual nonaspirin nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NANSAIDs) and serious upper gastrointestinal bleeding: a meta-analysis based on individual patient data. Brit J Clin Pharm 2002; 54: 320–6

29. Castellsague J, Riera-Guardia N, Calingaert B et al.: Individual NSAIDs and upper gastrointestinal complications: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies (the SOS project). Drug Saf 2012; 35: 1127–46
30. Rostom A, Muir K, Dube C et al. Prevention of NSAID-related upper gastrointestinal toxicity: a meta-analysis of traditional NSAIDs with gastroprotection and COX-2 inhibitors. Drug Healthc Patient Saf 2009; 1: 47–71
31. Spiegel BM, Farid M, Dulai GS et al.: Comparing rates of dyspepsia with Coxibs vs NSAID+PPI: a meta-analysis. Am J Med 2006; 119: 448.e27–36
32. Bundesärztekammer (BÄK), Kassenärztliche Bundesvereinigung (KBV), Arbeitsgemeinschaft der Wissenschaftlichen Medizinischen Fachgesellschaften (AWMF): Nationale Versorgungs-Leitlinie Nicht-spezifischer Kreuzschmerz – Langfassung, 2. Auflage. Version 1, 2017. Unter: http://www.awmf.org/uploads/tx_szleitlinien/nvl-007l_S3_Kreuzschmerz_2017–03.pdf (abgerufen am 20.03.2018).
33. European Medicines Evaluation Agency (EMEA): Public assessment report for medicinal products containing non-selective non steroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). Publiziert am 07.11.2006, unter: http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/Report/2010/01/WC500054344.pdf (abgerufen am 20.03.2018)
34. EMEA: PRAC recommends the same cardiovascular precautions for diclofenac as for selective COX-2 inhibitors. EMA/353084/2013. Publiziert am 14.06.2013, unter: http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/Press_release/2013/06/WC500144451.pdf (abgerufen am 20.03.2018)
35. Arzneimittelkommission der deutschen Ärzteschaft (AkdÄ). Diclofenac – Neue Kontraindikationen und Warnhinweise nach europaweiter Überprüfung der kardiovaskulären Sicherheit. Rote-Hand-Brief vom 15.07.2013, unter: https://www.akdae.de/Arzneimittelsicherheit/RHB/Archiv/2013/20130715.pdf (abgerufen am 20.03.2018)
36. Varas-Lorenzo C, Riera-Guardia N, Calingaert B et al.: Myocardial infarction and individual nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs meta-analysis of observational studies. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf 2013; 22: 559–70
37. Arbeitsgemeinschaft der Wissenschaftlichen Medizinischen Fachgesellschaften (AWMF): S1-Leitlinie Intraartikuläre Punktionen und Injektionen: Hygienemaßnahmen. Registernummer 029/006, letzte Überarbeitung 08/2015, unter: http://www.awmf.org/uploads/tx_szleitlinien/029–006l_S1_Hygiene_intraartikulaere_Punktionen_und_Injektionen_2015–08_01.pdf (abgerufen am 20.03.2018)
38. Hepper CT, Halvorson JJ, Duncan ST et al.: The efficacy and duration of intra-articular corticosteroid injection for knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review of level I studies. J Am Acad Orthop Surg 2009; 17: 638–66
39. Arden NK, Reading IC, Jordan KM et al. A randomised controlled trial of tidal irrigation vs corticosteroid injection in knee osteoarthritis: the KIVIS Study. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2008; 16: 733–9
40. Arroll B, Goodyear-Smith F: Corticosteroid injections for osteoarthritis of the knee: metaanalysis. BMJ 2004; 328: 869
41. Hirsch G, Kitas G, Klocke R: Intra-articular corticosteroid injection in osteoarthritis of the knee and hip: factors predicting pain relief--a systematic review. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2013; 42: 451–73
42. McAlindon TE, LaValley MP, Harvey WF et al.: Effect of intra-articular triamcinolone vs saline on knee cartilage volume and pain in patients with knee osteoarthritis: A randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2017; 317: 1967–75
43. Kosinska MK, Ludwig TE, Liebisch G et al.: Articular joint lubricants during osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis display altered levels and molecular species. PLoS One 2015; 10: e0125192
44. Bannuru RR, Natov NS, Dasi UR et al.: Therapeutic trajectory following intra-articular hyaluronic acid injection in knee osteoarthritis-meta-analysis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2011; 19: 611–9
45. Miller LE, Block JE: US-approved intra-articular hyaluronic acid injections are safe and effective in patients with knee osteoarthritis: Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized saline-controlled trials. Clin Med Insights Arthritis Musculoskelet Disord 2013; 6: 57–63
46. Bruyere O, Cooper C, Pelletier JP et al.: An algorithm recommendation for the management of knee osteoarthritis in Europe and internationally: a report from a task force of the European Society for Clinical and Economic Aspects of Osteoporosis and Osteoarthritis (ESCEO). Semin Arthritis Rheum 2014; 44: 253–63
47. Bruyere O, Cooper C, Pelletier JP et al.: A consensus statement on the European Society for Clinical and Economic Aspects of Osteoporosis and Osteoarthritis (ESCEO) algorithm for the management of knee osteoarthritis-From evidence-based medicine to the real-life setting. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2016; 45: S3–11
48. Wandel S, Juni P, Tendal B et al.: Effects of glucosamine, chondroitin, or placebo in patients with osteoarthritis of hip or knee: network meta-analysis. BMJ 2010; 341: c4675
49. Henrotin Y, Marty M, Mobasheri A: What is the current status of chondroitin sulfate and glucosamine for the treatment of knee osteoarthritis? Maturitas 2014; 78: 184–7
50. Hochberg MC, Zhan M, Langenberg P: The rate of decline of joint space width in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized placebo-controlled trials of chondroitin sulfate. Curr Med Res Opin 2008; 24: 3029–35
51. Lee YH, Woo JH, Choi SJ et al.: Effect of glucosamine or chondroitin sulfate on the osteoarthritis progression: a meta-analysis. Rheumatol Int 2010; 30: 357–63
52. Martel-Pelletier J, Roubille C, Abram F et al.: First-line analysis of the effects of treatment on progression of structural changes in knee osteoarthritis over 24 months: data from the osteoarthritis initiative progression cohort. Ann Rheum Dis 2015; 74: 547–56
53. Raynauld JP, Pelletier JP, Abram F et al.: Long-term effects of glucosamine and chondroitin sulfate on the progression of structural changes in knee osteoarthritis: Six-year followup data from the osteoarthritis initiative. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2016; 68: 1560–6
54. Sawitzke AD, Shi H, Finco MF et al.: The effect of glucosamine and/or chondroitin sulfate on the progression of knee osteoarthritis: a report from the glucosamine/chondroitin arthritis intervention trial. Arthritis Rheum 2008; 58: 3183–91
55. Yang S, Eaton CB, McAlindon TE et al.: Effects of glucosamine and chondroitin supplementation on knee osteoarthritis: an analysis with marginal structural models. Arthritis Rheumatol 2015; 67: 714–23
56. Clegg DO, Reda DJ, Harris CL et al. Glucosamine, chondroitin sulphate, and the two in combination for painful knee osteoarthritis. New Engl J Med 2006; 354: 795–808
57. Steinmeyer J. Weihrauch zur Behandlung der Arthrose? Arzneiverordnung in der Praxis 2017; 44: 132–4

Vollständige Literatur zu Carsten Tibesku: Gelenkersetzende Therapie bei Gonarthrose OUP 07-2018

Literatur

1. DGOU DGfOuU. Leitlinie Gonarthrose. www.awmf.org/leitlinien/detail/ll/033– 004.html 2018
2. Berchuck M, Andriacchi TP, Bach BR, Reider B: Gait adaptations by patients who have a deficient anterior cruciate ligament. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1990; 72: 871–7
3. Andriacchi TP: Dynamics of pathological motion: applied to the anterior cruciate deficient knee. J Biomech. 1990; 23 Suppl 1: 99–105
4. Chassin EP, Mikosz RP, Andriacchi TP, Rosenberg AG: Functional analysis of cemented medial unicompartmental knee arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty. 1996; 11: 553–9
5. Argenson JN, Komistek RD, Aubaniac JM et al.: In vivo determination of knee kinematics for subjects implanted with a unicompartmental arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty. 2002; 17: 1049–54
6. Becker R, Mauer C, Starke C et al.: Anteroposterior and rotational stability in fixed and mobile bearing unicondylar knee arthroplasty: a cadaveric study using the robotic force sensor system. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2013; 21: 2427–32
7. Fuchs S, Tibesku CO, Flören M, Thorwesten L: Interdependence of clinical and isokinetic results after bicondylar knee prostheses with special emphasis on quality of life results. Int Orthop. 2000; 24: 268–71
8. Fuchs S, Tibesku CO, Genkinger M, Laass H, Rosenbaum D: Proprioception with bicondylar sledge prostheses retaining cruciate ligaments. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2003: 148–54
9. Fuchs S, Tibesku CO, Frisse D, Laass H, Rosenbaum D: Quality of life and gait after unicondylar knee prosthesis are inferior to age-matched control subjects. Am J Phys Med Rehabil. 2003; 82: 441–6
10. Dalury DF, Fisher DA, Adams MJ, Gonzales RA: Unicompartmental knee arthroplasty compares favorably to total knee arthroplasty in the same patient. Orthopedics. 2009; 32 (4)
11. Von Keudell A, Sodha S, Collins J, Minas T, Fitz W, Gomoll AH: Patient satisfaction after primary total and unicompartmental knee arthroplasty: an age-dependent analysis. The Knee. 2014; 21: 180–4
12. Berend KR, Berend ME, Dalury DF, Argenson JN, Dodd CA, Scott RD: Consensus Statement on Indications and Contraindications for Medial Unicompartmental Knee Arthroplasty. J Surg Orthop Adv. 2015; 24: 252–6
13. Niinimaki TT, Murray DW, Partanen J, Pajala A, Leppilahti JI: Unicompartmental knee arthroplasties implanted for osteoarthritis with partial loss of joint space have high re-operation rates. The Knee. 2011; 18: 432–5
14. Pandit H, Gulati A, Jenkins C et al.: Unicompartmental knee replacement for patients with partial thickness cartilage loss in the affected compartment. The Knee. 2011; 18: 168–71
15. Cheng M, Chen D, Guo Y, Zhu C, Zhang X: Comparison of fixed- and mobile-bearing total knee arthroplasty with a mean five-year follow-up: A meta-analysis. Experimental and therapeutic medicine. 2013; 6: 45–51
16. Kleeblad LJ, van der List JP, Zuiderbaan HA, Pearle AD: Larger range of motion and increased return to activity, but higher revision rates following unicompartmental versus total knee arthroplasty in patients under 65: a systematic review. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2017 Nov 28 (Epub ahead of print)
17. Svärd UC, Price AJ: Oxford medial unicompartmental knee arthroplasty. A survival analysis of an independent series. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2001; 83: 191–4
18. Heyse TJ, Tibesku CO: Lateral unicompartmental knee arthroplasty: a review. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg. 2010; 130: 1539–48
19. Volpi P, Marinoni L, Bait C, Galli M, Denti M: Lateral unicompartimental knee arthroplasty: indications, technique and short-medium term results. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2007; 15: 1028–34
20. Walker T, Zahn N, Bruckner T et al.: Mid-term results of lateral unicondylar mobile bearing knee arthroplasty: a multicentre study of 363 cases. Bone Joint J. 2018; 100-B(1): 42–49
21. Argenson JN, Parratte S, Ashour A, Komistek RD, Scuderi GR: Patient-reported outcome correlates with knee function after a single-design mobile-bearing TKA.Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2008; 466: 2669–76
22. Pisanu G, Rosso F, Bertolo C et al.: Patellofemoral Arthroplasty: Current Concepts and Review of the Literature. Joints. 2017; 5: 237–45
23. Leadbetter WB, Ragland PS, Mont MA: The appropriate use of patellofemoral arthroplasty: an analysis of reported indications, contraindications, and failures. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2005 (436): 91–9
24. Beckmann J, Steinert A, Zilkens C et al.: [Partial replacement of the knee joint with patient-specific instruments and implants (ConforMIS iUni, iDuo)]. Der Orthopäde. 2016; 45: 322–30
25. Parratte S, Ollivier M, Opsomer G, Lunebourg A, Argenson JN, Thienpont E: Is knee function better with contemporary modular bicompartmental arthroplasty compared to total knee arthroplasty? Short-term outcomes of a prospective matched study including 68 cases. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res. 2015; 101: 547–52
26. Benazzo F, Rossi SM, Ghiara M: Partial knee arthroplasty: patellofemoral arthroplasty and combined unicompartmental and patellofemoral arthroplasty implants-general considerations and indications, technique and clinical experience. The Knee. 2014; 21 Suppl 1: S43–6
27. Thienpont E, Price A: Bicompartmental knee arthroplasty of the patellofemoral and medial compartments. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2013; 21: 2523–31
28. Yeo NE, Chen JY, Yew A, Chia SL, Lo NN, Yeo SJ: Prospective randomised trial comparing unlinked, modular bicompartmental knee arthroplasty and total knee arthroplasty: a five years follow-up. The Knee. 2015; 22: 321–7
29. Fuchs S, Tibesku CO, Frisse D, Genkinger M, Laass H, Rosenbaum D: Clinical and functional comparison of uni- and bicondylar sledge prostheses. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2005; 13: 197–202
30. Gunston FH: Polycentric knee arthroplasty. Prosthetic simulation of normal knee movement. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 1971; 53: 272–7
31. Coventry MB, Finerman GA, Riley LH, Turner RH, Upshaw JE: A new geometric knee for total knee arthroplasty. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1972;83:157–62
32. Riley D, Woodyard JE: Long-term results of Geomedic total knee replacement. J Bone Joint Surg Br.1985; 67: 548–50
33. Cloutier JM: Results of total knee arthroplasty with a non-constrained prosthesis. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1983; 65: 906–19
34. Cloutier JM, Sabouret P, Deghrar A: Total knee arthroplasty with retention of

both cruciate ligaments. A nine to eleven-year follow-up study. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1999; 81: 697–702
35. Baumann F, Krutsch W et al.: Reduced joint-awareness in bicruciate-retaining total knee arthroplasty compared to cruciate-sacrificing total knee arthroplasty. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg. 2018; 138: 273–9
36. Baumann F, Bahadin O, Krutsch W et al.: Proprioception after bicruciate-retaining total knee arthroplasty is comparable to unicompartmental knee arthroplasty. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2017; 25:1697–704
37. Athwal KK, Hunt NC, Davies AJ, Deehan DJ, Amis AA: Clinical biomechanics of instability related to total knee arthroplasty. Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon). 2014; 29: 119–28
38. Ishii Y, Noguchi H, Takeda M, Kiga H, Toyabe SI: Effect of voluntary soft tissue tension and articular conformity after total knee arthroplasty on in vivo anteroposterior displacement. The Knee. 2011; 18: 11–4
39. Haider H, Walker PS: Measurements of constraint of total knee replacement. J Biomech. 2005; 38: 341–8
40. Heesterbeek P, Keijsers N, Jacobs W, Verdonschot N, Wymenga A: Posterior cruciate ligament recruitment affects antero-posterior translation during flexion gap distraction in total knee replacement. An intraoperative study involving 50 patients. Acta orthopaedica. 2010; 81: 471–7
41. Zelle J, Heesterbeek PJ, De Waal Malefijt M, Verdonschot N: Numerical analysis of variations in posterior cruciate ligament properties and balancing techniques on total knee arthroplasty loading. Med Eng Phys.2010; 32: 700–7
42. Fantozzi S, Catani F, Ensini A, Leardini A, Giannini S: Femoral rollback of cruciate-retaining and posterior-stabilized total knee replacements: in vivo fluoroscopic analysis during activities of daily living. J Orthop Res. 2006; 24: 2222–9
43. Walker PS, Sussman-Fort JM, Yildirim G, Boyer J: Design features of total knees for achieving normal knee motion characteristics. J Arthroplasty. 2009; 24: 475–83
44. Lattanzio PJ, Chess DG, MacDermid JC: Effect of the posterior cruciate ligament in knee-joint proprioception in total knee arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty. 1998; 13: 580–5
45. Matziolis G, Mehlhorn S, Schattat N et al.: How much of the PCL is really preserved during the tibial cut? Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2012; 20: 1083–6
46. Moro-oka TA, Muenchinger M, Canciani JP, Banks SA: Comparing in vivo kinematics of anterior cruciate-retaining and posterior cruciate-retaining total knee arthroplasty. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2007; 15: 93–9
47. Victor J, Banks S, Bellemans J: Kinematics of posterior cruciate ligament-retaining and -substituting total knee arthroplasty: a prospective randomised outcome study. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2005; 87: 646–55
48. Bellemans J, Banks S, Victor J, Vandenneucker H, Moemans A: Fluoroscopic analysis of the kinematics of deep flexion in total knee arthroplasty. Influence of posterior condylar offset. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2002; 84: 50–3
49. Watanabe T, Ishizuki M, Muneta T, Banks SA: Knee kinematics in anterior cruciate ligament-substituting arthroplasty with or without the posterior cruciate ligament. J Arthroplasty. 2013; 28: 548–52
50. Heyse TJ, Becher C, Kron N et al.: Quadriceps force in relation of intrinsic anteroposterior stability of TKA design. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg.2010; 130: 1–9
51. Becher C, Heyse TJ, Kron N et al.: Posterior stabilized TKA reduce patellofemoral contact pressure compared with cruciate retaining TKA in vitro. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2009; 17: 1159–65
52. Bellemans J, Robijns F, Duerinckx J, Banks S, Vandenneucker H: The influence of tibial slope on maximal flexion after total knee arthroplasty. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2005; 13: 193–6
53. Arabori M, Matsui N, Kuroda R et al.: Posterior condylar offset and flexion in posterior cruciate-retaining and posterior stabilized TKA. J Orthop Sci. 2008; 13: 46–50
54. Jacobs WC, Clement DJ, Wymenga AB: Retention versus removal of the posterior cruciate ligament in total knee replacement: a systematic literature review within the Cochrane framework. Acta orthopaedica. 2005; 76: 757–68
55. Abdel MP, Morrey ME, Jensen MR, Morrey BF: Increased long-term survival of posterior cruciate-retaining versus posterior cruciate-stabilizing total knee replacements. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2011; 93: 2072–8
56. National Joint Replacement Registry, annual report [database on the Internet]. 2011 [cited 2013]
57. Bercik MJ, Joshi A, Parvizi J: Posterior cruciate-retaining versus posterior-stabilized total knee arthroplasty: a meta-analysis. J Arthroplasty. 2013; 28: 439–44
58. Lombardi AV, Jr., Berend KR: Posterior cruciate ligament-retaining, posterior stabilized, and varus/valgus posterior stabilized constrained articulations in total knee arthroplasty. Instr Course Lect. 2006; 55: 419–27
59. Laskin RS, Maruyama Y, Villaneuva M, Bourne R: Deep-dish congruent tibial component use in total knee arthroplasty: a randomized prospective study. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2000; 380: 36–44
60. Scott RD, Thornhill TS: Posterior cruciate supplementing total knee replacement using conforming inserts and cruciate recession. Effect on range of motion and radiolucent lines. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1994; 309: 146–9
61. Daniilidis K, Skwara A, Vieth V et al.: Highly conforming polyethylene inlays reduce the in vivo variability of knee joint kinematics after total knee arthroplasty. The Knee. 2012; 19: 260–5
62. Fisher J, Jennings LM, Galvin AL, Jin ZM, Stone MH, Ingham E: 2009 Knee Society Presidential Guest Lecture: Polyethylene wear in total knees. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2010; 468: 12–8
63. Galvin AL, Kang L, Udofia I et al.: Effect of conformity and contact stress on wear in fixed-bearing total knee prostheses. J Biomech. 2009; 42: 1898–902
64. Huang CH, Ma HM, Liau JJ, Ho FY, Cheng CK: Osteolysis in failed total knee arthroplasty: a comparison of mobile-bearing and fixed–bearing knees. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2002; 84-A (12): 2224–9
65. Pagnano MW, Trousdale RT, Stuart MJ, Hanssen AD, Jacofsky DJ: Rotating platform knees did not improve patellar tracking: a prospective, randomized study of 240 primary total knee arthroplasties. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2004; 428: 221–7
66. Jacobs WC, Clement DJ, Wymenga AB: Retention versus sacrifice of the posterior cruciate ligament in total knee replacement for treatment of osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2005 (4): CD004803.
67. van der Voort P, Pijls BG, Nouta KA, Valstar ER, Jacobs WC, Nelissen RG: A systematic review and meta-regression of mobile-bearing versus fixed-bearing total knee replacement in 41 studies. Bone Joint J.2013; 95-B (9): 1209–16
68. Girard J, Amzallag M, Pasquier G et al.: Total knee arthroplasty in valgus knees: predictive preoperative parameters influencing a constrained design selection. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res. 2009; 95: 260–6
69. Sculco TP: The role of constraint in total knee arthoplasty. J Arthroplasty. 2006; 21 (4 Suppl 1): 54–6
70. Gustke KA. Preoperative planning for revision total knee arthroplasty: avoiding chaos. J Arthroplasty. 2005; 20 (4 Suppl 2): 37–40
71. McAuley JP, Engh GA: Constraint in total knee arthroplasty: when and what? J Arthroplasty. 2003; 18 (3 Suppl 1): 51–4
72. Bauwens K, Matthes G, Wich M et al.: Navigated total knee replacement. A meta-analysis. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2007; 89: 261–9

73. Tibesku CO: [Total knee arthroplasty with the use of patient specific instruments. The VISIONAIRE system]. Der Orthopäde. 2016; 45: 286–93
74. Mannan A, Akinyooye D, Hossain F: A Meta-analysis of Functional Outcomes in Patient-Specific Instrumented Knee Arthroplasty. Knee Surg. 2017; 30: 668–74
75. Mannan A, Smith TO: Favourable rotational alignment outcomes in PSI knee arthroplasty: A Level 1 systematic review and meta-analysis. The Knee. 2016; 23: 186–90
76. Tibesku CO, Hofer P, Portegies W, Ruys CJ, Fennema P: Benefits of using customized instrumentation in total knee arthroplasty: results from an activity-based costing model. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg. 2013; 133: 405–11
77. Slamin J, Parsley B: Evolution of customization design for total knee arthroplasty. Curr Rev Musculoskelet Med. 2012; 5: 290–5
78. Steinert AF, Holzapfel BM, Sefrin L, Arnholdt J, Hoberg M, Rudert M: Totalendoprothetischer Kniegelenksersatz. Patientenspezifische Instrumente und Implantate. Der Orthopäde. 2016; 45: 331–40
78. Jerosch J, Heisel J, Tibesku CO: Knieendoprothetik, Indikationen, Operationstechnik, Nachbehandlung, Begutachtung, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2. Aufl. 2015